SIMULATION OF COMPRESSION IGNITION ENGINE POWERED BY BIOFUELS

M. A. Hamdan Department of Mechanical engineering-University of Jordan. Amman-Jordan Email: <u>mhamdan@ju.edu.jo</u> Runa Haj Khalil Department of Mechanical engineering-Philadelphia University. Amman-Jordan Email: ranahk@yahoo.com

The present work describes a theoretical investigation concerning the performance of a four strokes compression ignition engine, which is powered by alternative fuels in the form of diesel-ethanol and diesel –ether mixtures, the properties of which were sited from literature. The amount of each alcohol added was 5, 10 and 15 % by volume. The engine speed during the experimental work was within the range from 1000 to 4000 rpm, with engine was set at full throttle opening and hence the engine was operating under full load conditions. Several parameters were calculated namely; engine torque, brake mean effective pressure, brake power, specific fuel consumption and the thermal efficiency, this was carried out using DIESEL-RK Software.

It was found that the engine is of highest thermal efficiency when it is powered by a 15 % ethanol-diesel blend, wile it is of minimum thermal efficiency when it is powered by pure diesel fuel. Further, it was found that both the thermal efficiency of the engine and the specific fuel consumption increases with the percentage of either ethanol or ether in the fuel blend. However, the power was found to decrease with the amount of either ethanol or ether in the fuel blends

INTRODUCTION

It is well known that transport is almost totally dependent on fossil, particularly, petroleum-based fuels such as gasoline, diesel fuel, liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) and natural gas (NG). In the last years, the world has been confronted with an energy crisis. The most used fuel, petroleum, is becoming scarce and its use is associated with the increase of environmental problems. Experts suggest that current oil and gas reserves would suffice to last only a few more decades. To exceed the rising energy demand and reducing petroleum reserves, fuels such as biofuel are in the forefront of the alternative technologies. Typical biofuels are biodiesel and alcohol.

The importance of biodiesel has been pointed out in recent works [1-7], it is a very interesting alternative fuel to the diesel. The Biodiesel can be obtained from renewable sources, such as vegetable oils or animal fats, through a transesterification process. This comes from the fact that in order to use vegetable oil in a diesel cycle engine without needing adaptations in the motor, it is necessary to submit this oil to a chemical reaction denominated transesterification, where the main objective is to reduce the oil viscosity to a value close to that of the diesel.

Among the many advantages of the use of the biodiesel are the great renewability and biodegradability, that it presents good lubricity and it contains very small amounts of sulfur. Not to mention that it has a higher flash point than diesel. On the other hand, it can be found in the literature a mention of some technical problems related to its use, such as the increase of NO_x gas emission compared with diesel, which should be examined with more caution.

Similarly alcohol fuels have been tested as an alternative fuels by many researches, among such alcohols are dimethyl ether and ethanol Dimethyl ether (DME) has been considered as an alternative fuel for compression ignition (CI) engines recently, because of its relative environmental cleanliness. Its unique auto-ignition qualities due to its very high cetane number could be best utilized by high pressure

ignition of liquid DME directly into a cylinder. Therefore, many experimental and numerical investigations on engines fueled with DME at various engine operation conditions have been reported [8-11].

In response, several codes emerged as a companion to experimental work in engine design, these codes are capable of modeling transient, three dimensional, compressible, multiphase flows with chemical reactions by solving the mass, momentum, and energy equations These codes are used for the simulation of compressed engines that use pure diesel fuel. A brief review of such codes is presented by Ref. [15]. In this paper, an appropriate code will be selected and modified, by introducing different parameters, in order to allow for the simulation process when the engine run on ethanol-diesel blends and on di-methyl ether (DME)- diesel blends, which to the best of the author knowledge has not been carried out before..

SIMULATION MODEL

The theoretical models used in the case of internal combustion engines can be classified into two main groups viz., thermodynamic models and fluid dynamic models. Thermodynamic models are mainly based on the first law of thermodynamics and are used to analyze the performance characteristics of engines. Pressure, temperature and other required properties are evaluated with respect to crank angle or in other words with respect to time. The engine friction and heat transfer are taken into account using empirical equations obtained from experiments. These models are further classified into two groups namely single-zone models and multi-zone models. On the other hand, multi-zone models are also called computational fluid dynamics models. These are also applied for the simulation of combustion process in the internal combustion engines. They are based on the numerical calculation of mass, momentum, energy and species conservation equations in either one, two or three dimensions to follow the propagation of flame or combustion front within the engine combustion chamber.

Several software, which are based on the above models, were commercialized in order to be used for the simulation of compression ignition engines, namely; ProRacing engine simulation, Virtual engine DYNO, ECFM-3Z (three zone extended coherent flame model), Advisor (ADvanced VehIcle SimulatOR) and DIESEL-RK Software etc., In this work the Diesel-RK software was used since its agreement with experimental data was very good as indicated in references [16-18]. It is a multi-zone model of diesel sprays evolution and combustion, it takes into account: the shape of injection profile, including split injection; drop sizes; direction of each spray in the combustion chamber; the swirl intensity; the piston bowl shape. Evolution of wall surface flows generated by each spray depends on the spray and wall impingement angle and the swirl intensity. Interaction between near-wall flows (further named wall surface flows) generated by the adjacent sprays is taken into account. The method considers hitting of fuel on the cylinder head and liner surfaces. The evaporation rate in each zone is determined by Nusselt number for the diffusion process, the pressure and the temperature, including temperatures of different walls where a fuel spray gets. A parametric study of the swirl intensity effect has been performed and a good agreement with experimental data was obtained. The calculations results allow describing the phenomenon of increased fuel consumption with increase of swirl ratio over the optimum value. The model has been used for simulation of different engines performances.. The model does not require recalibration for different operating modes of a diesel engine.

MEDOLOGY

IN this work, DIESEL-RK software was used for the calculation the performance of a compression ignition engine when it is powered by different alternative fuels. The parameters, which were calculated in order to find the performance of the engine are: brake power, specific fuel consumption and the thermal efficiency. These parameters were calculated for each blend and at different engine speed. The engine used in this work has the

specification shown in table 1. While properties of the different fuel blends are shown in table 2. These values shown in both tables are used as input data to the software.

It has to be noted that and to the best of the author knowledge, this software has never been used to simulate a diesel engine running on blends of ethanol-diesel and dimethy ether-diesel blends. Consequently, this model was modified to accept the properties of theses blends.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The obtained results, which were obtained under full engine load conditions, are presented in figures 1 through 11. The variation of engine torque with speed for various fuel blends is indicated in figures 1 and 2. It may be seen that, and as expected from theory, the engine torque increases with speed to a maximum value, beyond which it starts to decrease with speed. Further, as indicated in these two figures and at any fixed value of speed, the engine torque decreases with increasing the amount of either ethanol or tether in the fuel blend.



Figure 1. Variation of engine torque with speed for different ethanol-diesel blends



Figure 2. Variation of engine torque with speed for different ether-diesel blends

Figures 3 and 4 show the variation of the engine power with speed for ethanol-diesel and ether-diesel blends respectively. As expected and as a general trend, initially the engine power increases with a speed to a maximum value at a speed engine of 3500 rpm, beyond which the power remains constant, however it is expected to decrease as speed further increases. Further, it may be noticed that the power produced decreases with the percentage amount of alcohol added. This is due to the fact that the heat content of both ethanol and ether are lower than that of pure diesel, and hence the blends formed are of heating values than that of the pure diesel. Furthermore and due to the fact that both ether and ethanol have lower cetane values that pure diesel, it is expected that the blends fuel will cause a drop in the engine power output. These results are in agreement with the experimental results outlined in Ref. [19]



Figure 3. Variation of engine power with speed for different ethanol-diesel blends



Figure 4. Variation of engine power with speed for different ether-diesel blends

Figures 5 and 6 show the variation of the specific fuel consumption with speed for ethanol-diesel and etherdiesel blends respectively. It is observed that for all the ethanol–diesel fuel and ether-diesel blends, the specific fuel consumption is a little higher than the corresponding diesel fuel case, with the increase being higher the higher the percentage of ethanol and ether in the blend. This is the expected behavior due to the lower calorific value of the ethanol compared to that for the neat diesel fuel. Also this is in agreement with the experimental results indicated in Ref.[20].



Figure 5. Variation of engine specific fuel consumption with speed for different ethanol-diesel blends.



Figure 6. Variation of engine specific fuel consumption with speed for different ether-diesel blends.

The engine thermal efficiency for the neat diesel fuel and the various percentages of the ethanol and ether in their blends with diesel fuel is presented in figures 7 and 8 respectively. Noting that the brake thermal efficiency is simply the inverse of the product of the specific fuel consumption and the lower calorific value of the fuel, the results of this figure can be explained. It is observed that for both the ethanol-diesel fuel blends and those of etherdiesel blends, the brake thermal efficiency is slightly higher than that for the corresponding neat diesel fuel case, with the increase being higher the higher the percentage of ethanol or ether in the blends. This means that the increase of brake specific fuel consumption for both the ethanol-diesel blends and the ether-diesl blends is lower than the corresponding decrease of the lower calorific value of the blends. This is in agreement with the experimental results presented in Ref. [21].



Figure 7. Variation of engine thermal efficiency with speed for different ethanol-diesel blends



Figure 8. Variation of engine thermal efficiency with speed for different ether-diesel blends.

Further, from these thermal efficiency figures it may be noted that the engine is of maximum thermal efficiency when 15 % of ethanol-diesel blend and 15 % ether-diesel blends were used as fuel for the engine. Consequently the performance of the engine when powered by these two types of blends will be selected for further investigation.

Figure 9 indicates that the power produced by the engine over the speed range in this work is maximum when pure diesel is used to run engine, while the 15 % ethanol-diesel blend produces the minimum power output. This is, and as discussed above due to the fact that the heating value of diesel fuel is of maximum value followed by that of ether and ethanol. Further figure 10 shows that the engine is of minimum specific fuel consumption (minimum amount of fuel is consumed to produce one kW.hr) when pure diesel is used, while it is maximum when the engine is powered by 15 % ethanol-diesel blend



Figure 9. Variation of engine power with speed for different fuel types.



Figure 10.Variation of engine specific fuel consumption with speed for different fuel types.



Figure 11.Variation of engine thermal efficiency with speed for different fuel types.

Finally and as expected the engine is of highest thermal efficiency when it is powered by the 15 % ethanol-diesel blend, while the engine is of minimum thermal efficiency when it is powered by pure diesel fuel, as shown in figure 11.

CONCLUSIONS:

In this work a four strokes compression engine was simulated using a software. The simulation was performed in order to find the performance of the engine when it is powered by different types of fuels. The followings may be concluded from this study:

1. The addition of both ethanol and ether to pure diesel caused a drop in the power output from the engine, with an increase in both the specific fuel consumption and the thermal efficiency of the engine increased 2. The thermal efficiency of the engine was found to increase with the amounts of both ether and ethanol added.

REFERENCES:

[1] N. Usta, An experimental study on performance and exhaust emissions of a diesel engine fuelled with tobacco seed oil methyl ester, *Energy Conversion Manage* **46**, pp. 2373–2386. (2005)

[2] O.M.I. Nwafor, Emission characteristics of diesel engine running on vegetable oil with elevated fuel inlet temperature, *Biomass Bioenergy* **27**, pp. 507–511. (2004),

[3] X. Li, X. Qiao, L. Zhang, J. Fang, Z. Huang and H. Xia, Combustion and emission characteristics of a two-stroke diesel engine operating on alcohol, *Renewable Energy* **30** pp. 2075–2084 (2005)

[4] C. Carraretto, A. Macor, A. Mirandola, A. Stoppato and S. Tonon, Biodiesel as alternative fuel: Experimental analysis and energetic evaluations, *Energy* **29**, pp. 2195–2211.(2004)

[5] S. Kalligeros, F. Zannikos, S. Stournas, E. Lois, G. Anastopoulos, Ch. Teas and F. Sakellaropoulos, An investigation of using biodiesel/marine diesel blends on the performance of a stationary diesel engine, *Biomass Bioenergy* **24**, pp. 141–149. (2003)

[6] S. Puhan, N. Vedaraman, B.V.B. Ram, G. Sankarnarayanan and K. Jeychandran, Mahua oil (madhuca indica seed oil) methyl ester as biodieselpreparation and emission characteristics, *Biomass Bioenergy* **28**. pp. 87–93. (2005)

[7] A.S. Ramadhas, C. Muraleedharan and S. Jayaraj, Performance and emission evaluation of a diesel engine fueled with methyl esters of rubber seed oil, *Renewable Energy* **30** pp. 1789–1800. (2005)

[8] Denis G, Herwig O, Daniel S, Eddie S, Marc AW, The performance of a heavy duty diesel engine with a production feasible DME injection system. SAE Tech paper, 2001-01-3629; (2001).

[9] Shuichi K, Chen CL, Shuichi K, Mitsuharu O, Alam M, Rhee KT, Direct injection diesel engine operated with propane-DME blend fuel, SAE Tech paper, 982536;(1998)

[10] Shuichi K, Mitsuharu O, Tomoya M, DME fuel blends for low emission direct-injection diesel engines. SAE Tech paper, 2000-01-2004; (2000.)

[11] Sato Y, Noda A, Sakamoto T, Goto Y, Performance and emission characteristics of a DI diesel engine operated on dimethyl ether applying EGR with supercharging. SAE Tech paper, 2000-01-1809; (2000).

[12] Bilgin A, Durgum O, and Sahin Z, The effect of diesel ethanol blends on dieselmengine performance, Energy sources 24 pp. 431-440.(2002)

[13] Ajav, E., Singh,B. and Bhattacharya, T., Performance os a stationary diesel engine using vaporized ethanol as a supplementary fuel. Biomass 15,pp.493-502.(1998)

[14] Ecklund EE, Bectold RL, Timbario TJ. State-ofthe-Art Report on Use of Alcohols in Diesel Engines. SAE Technical Paper Series 840118. International Congress and Exposition, Detroit, Michigan; (1994).

[15] A.S. Ramadhas, S. Jayaraj and C. Muraleedharan, Theoretical modeling and experimental studies on biodiesel-fueled engine, Renewable Energy 31 p.1813-1826.(2006)

[16] A.S. Kuleshov: "Multi-Zone DI Diesel Spray Combustion Model and its application for Matching the Injector Design with Piston Bowl Shape", SAE Paper No 2007-01-1908,(2007).

[17] A.S. Kuleshov: "Use of Multi-Zone DI Diesel Spray Combustion Model for Simulation and Optimization of Performance and Emissions of Engines with Multiple Injection ", SAE Paper No. 2006-01-1385,(2006) [18] A.S. Kuleshov : "Model for predicting air-fuel mixing, combustion and emissions in DI diesel engines over whole operating range", SAE Paper No. 2005-01-2119, (2005).

[19] Eliana Weber de Menezes, Rosa^{ngela} da Silva, Renato Catalun^a, Ricardo J.C. Ortega. "Effect of ethers and ether/ethanol additives on the physicochemical properties of diesel fuel and on engine tests." Fuel 85 815–822.(2006) [20] C.D. Rakopoulos, K.A. Antonopoulos and D.C. Rakopoulos. "Experimental heat release analysis and emissions of a HSDI diesel engine fueled with ethanol –diesel fuel blends ." Energy Volume 32, Issue 10, October, Pages 1791-1808 .(2007)

[21] E Menezes, R. Silva, R. Catalu and R. Ortega. "Effect of ethers and ether/ethanol additives on the physicochemical properties of diesel fuel and on engine tests." Fuel 85 815–822(2006)

Туре	Automotive 30 Test Bed		
Bore	72.25 mm		
Stroke	88.18 mm		
Number of Cylinder	Four Cylinder		
Type of Injection	Direct Injection		
Type of Cooling	Water cooled		
Swept Volume	1450 сс		
Compression Ratio	21.5		
Intake Valve Diameter	34.51 mm		
Exhaust Valve Diameter	28.49 mm		
Connected Rod Length	155.8 mm		
Maximum torque	80 N.m		
Maximum power	30 kW		

Table 1. Engine specification

Fuel	Density (kg/m ³)	Cetane number	Calorific value (kJ/kg)
Diesel	837	50	43000
Ethanol	788	5-8	26800
Ether	670	55-60	28900
E-5	835	48	42000
E-10	832	46	41400
E15	830	43	40600
Ether-5	812	56	42500
Ether-10	820	57	42000
Ether-15	829	58	40800

Table 2. Properties of the different fuel blends